EXHIBIT 6

|| Docusign Envelope ID: 515225.25-Ind 513084-0866650 Document 4709-7 Filed 12/17/25 Page 2 of 4

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL — ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY

1 2 3	Laura Vartain Horn (SBN 258485) KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP 555 California Street, Suite 2700 San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 439-1625 laura.vartain@kirkland.com		
4 5 6 7 8 9	Allison M. Brown (Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP 2005 Market Street, Suite 1000 Philadelphia, PA 19103 Telephone: (215) 268-5000 alli.brown@kirkland.com Jessica Davidson (Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP 601 Lexington Avenue New York, NY 10022		
10 11	New York, NY 10022 Telephone: (212) 446-4800 jessica.davidson@kirkland.com Attorneys for Defendants		
12 13	UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., RASIER, LLC, And RASIER-CA, LLC		
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
15	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
		ire i or eribi	
16		ISCO DIVISIO	
16 17 18 19		Case No. 3:23	
17 18	SAN FRANC IN RE: UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., PASSENGER SEXUAL ASSAULT	Case No. 3:23 DECLARAT Judge:	ON B-md-03084-CRB (LJC) CION OF TODD GADDIS Hon. Charles R. Breyer
17 18 19 20 21	SAN FRANC IN RE: UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., PASSENGER SEXUAL ASSAULT LITIGATION	Case No. 3:23	ON 3-md-03084-CRB (LJC) CION OF TODD GADDIS
17 18 19 20	IN RE: UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., PASSENGER SEXUAL ASSAULT LITIGATION This Document Relates to: Jaylynn Dean v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.,	Case No. 3:23 DECLARAT Judge:	ON B-md-03084-CRB (LJC) CION OF TODD GADDIS Hon. Charles R. Breyer
17 18 19 20 21 22	IN RE: UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., PASSENGER SEXUAL ASSAULT LITIGATION This Document Relates to: Jaylynn Dean v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.,	Case No. 3:23 DECLARAT Judge:	ON B-md-03084-CRB (LJC) CION OF TODD GADDIS Hon. Charles R. Breyer
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	IN RE: UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., PASSENGER SEXUAL ASSAULT LITIGATION This Document Relates to: Jaylynn Dean v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.,	Case No. 3:23 DECLARAT Judge:	ON B-md-03084-CRB (LJC) CION OF TODD GADDIS Hon. Charles R. Breyer
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	IN RE: UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., PASSENGER SEXUAL ASSAULT LITIGATION This Document Relates to: Jaylynn Dean v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.,	Case No. 3:23 DECLARAT Judge:	ON B-md-03084-CRB (LJC) CION OF TODD GADDIS Hon. Charles R. Breyer
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	IN RE: UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., PASSENGER SEXUAL ASSAULT LITIGATION This Document Relates to: Jaylynn Dean v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.,	Case No. 3:23 DECLARAT Judge:	ON B-md-03084-CRB (LJC) CION OF TODD GADDIS Hon. Charles R. Breyer

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL — ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY

I, Todd Gaddis, declare as follows:

- 1. I am a Manager II, Data Science, at Uber Technologies, Inc. ("Uber"). I have been employed at Uber since September 2014, and I have held my current position since March 2021. I previously held the positions of Manager, Data Analytics; Data Analyst II; Claims Analyst; and Claims Advocate at Uber. Over the course of my employment at Uber, I have acquired personal knowledge of Uber's system and data sources.
- 2. I was asked by counsel for Uber to look into whether Hassan Turay activated an in-app audio recording during the ride between Ms. Dean and Mr. Turay that occurred on November 15, 2023 between 12:33:22 AM and 12:38:00 AM local time with the trip uuid of 7088dc4a-90cc-4b78-bf3f-2f98c5c326e7 (the "Subject Trip").
- 3. I was asked by counsel to review this information after questions arose from Plaintiffs' counsel concerning a reference to an event in Mr. Turay's Mobile Events Log, produced in this case at UBER-MDL3084-BW-00006299, named "safety_audio_recorder_driver_trip_ended_with_recording" (the "Event").
- 4. To further understand this issue, I reviewed Mr. Turay's Mobile Events Log and consulted with Uber engineers who investigated the Event and all other surrounding mobile events in Mr. Turay's Mobile Events Log.
- 5. As a result of this investigation, Uber has confirmed that there was never an in-app audio recording during the Subject Trip. Uber's conclusion is based on the findings described below.
- 6. First, if there had been an in-app audio recording, prior to the Event there would have been another event indicating that the driver activated in-app audio recording through a mobile event called a tap. Tap events that would denote the starting of an in-app audio recording would include: safety_audio_recording_start_recording_button_tap; safety_audio_recorder_driver_periodic

|| Docusign Envelope ID: 515225.289-AG 4951-3084-081666-36E Document 4709-7 Filed 12/17/25 Page 4 of 4

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL — ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY

1	_check_in_keep_recording_tap; and			
2	safety_audio_recording_driver_queue_recording_start_button_tap.			
3	Mr. Turay's Mobile Events Logs do not include any of these start recording events during the Subject			
4	Trip.			
5	7. Second, the absence of certain other events in Mr. Turay's Mobile Events Log further			
6 7	confirms that no in-app audio recording took place:			
8	safety_audio_recording_state_machine_state_change_event_event (which indicates the transition of the			
9	recorder from stopped to recording state) and in_app_recording_media_recorder_chunk_closed (which			
10				
11	indicates a chunk of audio was recorded and saved).			
12	8. Based on these findings, an in-app audio recording was never started on the Subject Trip.			
13				
14	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States and the State of California			
15	that the foregoing is true and correct.			
16	Executed on December 4, 2025, in San Francisco, CA.			
17	+ 11 a 11:			
18	Todd Gaddis Todd Gaddis			
19	Toda Gaddis			
20 21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				